

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/791,182

Applicant(s)

MCCARTHY, DAVID

Examiner

George L. Walton

Art Unit

3753

All Participants:(1) Mr. Gene R. Woodle - attorney.(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.**Status of Application:** 1st Action Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004**Time:** 3:30 PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Julio, Elmore, Spector et al and Mix.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, changes were proposed and incorporated into claim 1 to more clearly define the claimed invention and prevail over the above prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of the changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment".